UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,711	04/30/2007	Donald E. Oulman	15389US02	2451
7590 01/13/2010 Jennifer E. Lacroix McAndrews, Held & Malloy 500 W. Malion Street 24th Floor			EXAMINER	
			AHVAZI, BIJAN	
500 W. Madison Street, 34th Floor Chicago, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/588,711	OULMAN, DONA	OULMAN, DONALD E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BIJAN AHVAZI	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may attion. by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon 1.	☑ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal ma	•	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction a	rithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyone correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)	 .			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	948) Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/588,711 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-25 are drawn to a process for producing a high to super high active

surfactant product, classified in class 510, subclass 495.

II. Claims 26-32 are drawn a surfactant product produced by a process, classified in

class 510, subclass 444.

III. Claims 33-37 are drawn to a vacuum neutralizing reactor for producing a

surfactant product, classified in class 422, subclass 160.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can

be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can

be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case

the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as

production of oversize particles having high anionic surfactant levels.

3. Inventions I and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this

relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as

claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for

making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another

and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus can be used

for making a materially different product such as production of oversize particles having high

anionic surfactant levels or softer particles.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,711 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

4. Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product such as production of oversize particles having high anionic surfactant levels or softer particles.

- 5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be

Art Unit: 1796

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/588,711 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

7. A telephone call was made to Jennifer Lacroix on 01/11/2010 to request an oral election

to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Examiner Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Bijan Ahvazi, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)270-3449. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:0-5:0. (Off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information

regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from

a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system,

call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BA/ Bijan Ahvazi, Examiner

Art Unit 1796

/Harold Y Pyon/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

01/11/2010